FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jul 29, 2020

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

4

JEREMIAH LINZ, individually and

situated, CORY DAVIS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly

situated, and AARON KAMINSKY,

individually and on behalf of all others

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

on behalf of all others similarly

similarly situated,

HYNDMAN,

V.

CORE VALUES ROADSIDE SERVICE, LLC, and MARK

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Before the Court, without oral argument, are Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint, ECF No 56, and Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Counterclaims, or in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment ("Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment"), ECF No. 54. Defendants are not opposed to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint. ECF No. 61. Defendants are opposed to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment. ECF No. 65. Having reviewed the motions and the file in this matter, the Court is fully informed and

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS AS MOOT - 1

No. 2:20-cv-00107-SMJ

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND **DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS** AS MOOT

grants leave to file an Amended Complaint. The Court also denies the Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment as moot.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 permits a party to amend a pleading with the opposing party's written consent or leave of Court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Defendants have consented to the proposed Amended Complaint. ECF No. 61. As such, leave of Court is not required and amendment is appropriate. Because Plaintiffs are granted leave to amend the Complaint, Defendants are required to respond to the Amended Complaint. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(3). Therefore, Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment, which seeks dismissal of Defendants' counterclaims raised in the Amended Answer, ECF No. 48, is now moot. The Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment is denied with leave to renew as to any counterclaims raised in Defendants' Second Amended Answer.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint, ECF No 56, is GRANTED.
- 2. The Clerk's Office is **DIRECTED** to file the proposed First Amended Class and Collective Action Complaint and Jury Demand, **ECF**No. 56-1, as the First Amended Complaint.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS AS MOOT -2

Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment, ECF No. 54, is DENIED AS MOOT WITH LEAVE TO RENEW.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk's Office is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to all counsel.

DATED this 29th day of July 2020.

3.

SALVADOR MENDS A, JR United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT AND DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS AS MOOT – 3